**Metadata Subcommittee Action Plan for 2010**

**CSUL Core Activity 1. Acquire, manage, and provide access to scholarly information resources in multiple formats, subjects, and languages in support of academic programs.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Metadata Subcommittee Objective** | **Activity** | **Timeline** | **Resources Required** | **Assessment Measures** | **Status** |
| Continue to improve access to resources in Mango/Endeca through standards, guidelines and recommendations for metadata and its use | 1. Expand Metadata Subcommittee charge to cover all forms of metadata for all forms of resources | 1. 2010 | 1. Metadata Subcommittee | 1. Charge is revised | 1. Charge submitted to TSPC for approval |
| 2. Determine quality standards for MARC descriptive metadata created. | 2-6. 2010 and ongoing | 2. Metadata Subcommittee, TSPC, and FCLA | 2. Quality standards are developed. |  |
| 3. Develop guidelines for record sets obtained from vendors |  | 3. Metadata Subcommittee, TSPC, and FCLA | 3. Guidelines are developed. |  |
| 4. Review metadata indexing and display in Mango |  | 4. Metadata Subcommittee, FCLA, and OPAC Subcommittee | 4. Recommendations are developed for display and indexing of metadata in Mango |  |
| 5. Review facet assignment for non-MARC metadata in Mango |  | 5. Metadata Subcommittee and FCLA | 5. New collections added to Mango are retrievable by the appropriate facets |  |
| 6. Review recommendations and provide feedback for work and documents from the CAGER Revision Group |  | 6. Metadata Subcommittee, DISC, and FCLA | 6. Feedback provided and documents approved |  |
| Participate in evaluation of RDA for the State University Libraries | 1. Examine RDA elements for implications for use and display. Monitor results of the U.S. National Libraries RDA Test. | 1. 2010 and ongoing | 1. Metadata Subcommittee, TSPC, and FCLA | 1. Develop recommendations for use and display | 1. Pending outcome of national library review |