

State University Library Council
Thursday December 4, 2003– 10 am noon to 3pm
Florida International University – Wolfsonian Museum
1001 Washington Blvd., Miami
Library Reading Room, Third Floor

Minutes [Approved at the March 11, 2004 meeting with two corrections]

Present: Dale Canelas [chair], Barry Baker, Kathy Cohen, Jim Corey, Kathy Hoeth, Althea Jenkins, Bill Miller, Larry Miller, Grady Morein, Derrie Perez, and Lauren Sapp.

Absent: Joan Pelland

- 1) A **Tour** was given prior to the beginning of the meeting.
- 2) The **Agenda** was approved.
- 3) The **Minutes** of September 4, 2003, were approved.
- 4) The **Schedule of Meetings** was discussed and approved.
 - a. March 11, 2004, in Gainesville at UF
 - b. June 3, 2004, in Ft. Myers at FGCU (may be changed to FAMU)
 - c. September 2, 2004, in Orlando at UCF
 - d. December 2, 2004, in St. Augustine (hosted by community colleges)
- 5) The SULC accepted the **Report of the CMC** and approved the payment schedule for the dark archives. UCF is interested in the EBSCO management of e-journals and is planning a pilot project. (Handout)
- 6) An updated report was distributed at the meeting, which included clarification of PALMM and Metadata Harvesting. The **Report of the DPPC** was discussed and accepted.
 - a. The directors approved the recommendation for a sound and moving image project at FCLA.
 - b. The directors did not assign responsibility for copyright to CMC. The directors felt that it was the responsibility of each library to clarify the material **before it** reaches FCLA, this should have already been completed.
 - c. The directors did not assign a plan for PALMM to CMC at this time. They will await the results of their March discussion (see below) before making an assignment to CMC.

There was discussion on item #2, "Surplus project funds procedure." The DPPC will re-distribute excess funds (approximately \$15,000) through a second application process. While the directors concurred with the process, some directors believe that there is a need to improve the coordination of the selection, digitization, and cataloging of materials. The DPPC should have a list of projects, approved and in priority order. The funding serves as seed money

for the activities at the libraries holding materials that are being added to the collections. The SULC decided to consider the DPPC as part of broader reconsideration of the overall digital efforts, and had the following discussion.

The SULC should provide the vision for the libraries and guidance to the working groups. It was suggested that there are three major issues that we will be involved in for some time: the new LMS, digitization, and joint collection development. These three issues fall squarely on the concerns of the directors that a more collaborative process is needed for joint collection development and activities. A secondary question is "What structure do we want/need to put into place to carry out future work of SULC?" There was some concern voiced that the SULC should seriously consider new modes of doing business based on funding cutbacks and the evolution of vendor/business approaches to electronic resources and should develop new methods of guiding the SULC working groups.

The directors ultimately agreed that the timing is right for a planning retreat for the SULC. Priscilla Caplan (FCLA) will provide us with a technology update at the March meeting. Either then, or in June, the directors will plan an additional day to develop a plan and create a structure for implementation.

- 7) The **Report of the ECC** was approved. From a conference call on Monday, December 1, the CSA Physical Education Index, BEPress and Value Line were also added to the list.

Similar to discussions in #6, there was also discussion of how decisions are made as to which database vendors the ECC will negotiate with for big packages. The directors agreed that the ECC works very collegially to determine purchases. It was noted that as e-products/markets have evolved, the ECC chair and the FCLA staff have begun participating in ICOLC. Part of the overall discussion regarded the need for reconsideration of goals and the organizational structure required to carry them out. The role of the ECC has evolved and it may be time to update their charge.

Since additional funds have been requested for 2004/05, it was suggested that the ECC be asked to share with the SULC what they are looking at now and tie that into the FCLA budget request. The bottom line is that this is all part of the need for a planning session.

- 8) The proposed **Rosetta Webpages** were discussed. Jim Corey distributed the three options for the Metalib search page that were presented to PSPC at the Joint Meeting. The directors approved dropping the idea of naming our full text purchases Rosetta. The addition of multiple software programs to numerous bibliographic and full-text e-content databases has made it too complex to deal with another concept here.

- 9) The **Report of the PSPC** was discussed and accepted. The SULC approved the dissolution of the Distance Learners Subcommittee approved postponing major institutional branding after Version 3 of Metalib is installed; and approved the discontinuation of the "Rosetta" concept. The SULC rejected the wording of the fourth recommendation, but approved referring the issue to the OPAC Subcommittee and encouraging each Metalib representative (there is a Metalib representative in each library) to work with public service staff in order to customize the user interface.

- 10) The **Report of the TSPC** was accepted. The SULC approved the additional subcommittees.

- 11) There was no additional **Directors' Discussion.**