

TSPC Illuminate Session

July 8, 2010

Draft Minutes

Attendance:

FAMU: Ernestine Holmes

FAU: Teresa Abaid, Maria Berenbaum, Janice Donahue, Deb Hoban, Helen Laurence

FCLA: Ellen Bishop, Daniel Cromwell, Josh Greben, Mary Ann O'Daniel, Jean Phillips

FIU: Sue Wartzok, Elaine Winske

FSU: Annie Glerum, Charles McElroy, Amy Weiss, Ruth Ziegler

FSU-Law: Pat Bingham-Harper

FSU-Music: Sarah Hess Cohen

NCF: Tom Tharrington

UCF: Lyn Case

UF: Betsy Simpson, Jimmie Lundgren, Priscilla Williams

UF-Med: Cecilia Botero

UNF: Jeff Bowen, Susan Massey, Vicki Stanton

USF: Susan Heron

UWF: Shari Johnson, Debbie Sanders

Minutes:

The minutes of the June 2010 meeting were approved.

Next Meeting:

August 19th, 2010. Minute-taker: FSU

FCLA Report:

Mary Ann O'Daniel updated the committee on the UBorrow project. FCLA did a refresh of the Test database. UBorrow had been in Aleph testing and now it is in Beta testing. They will be comparing the performance of Aleph ILL to Illiad.

Josh Greben updated the committee on SOLANGO. FCLA is looking to move the SOLR-backed catalog into production this summer, between the end of the last summer session and the beginning of the fall session. They have been discussing how to update the links. On each library's home page, it will be necessary to change the parameters in the URL because the parameters are different from Endeca to SOLANGO. The old links are not going to work anymore. Josh will post a message on the FCLA webpage on how to switch the links in the fall.

Mary Ann reported on an FCLA project done as part of the shared bib project. They successfully merged the 60 libraries for the three test libraries into same big 60 library. This is the procedure that ExLibris recommended.

Mary Ann also reported that Ellen Bishop has been working with each SUL on their fiscal year rollovers. It always goes smoothly but she wanted to mention it because it's a major event that often only acquisitions librarians are aware of.

Daniel Cromwell reported on the FSU reclamation project. They have agreed on the parameters for the project and this Friday will be the last day for FSU to delete holdings in OCLC. On Saturday he will extract their records and create MARC files to send to OCLC. Then it is up to OCLC to queue them for processing.

Daniel also mentioned that earlier this week he had sent out a message about DLU01, which is where the former Notis QF records were migrated to. There is a new structure for 852 fields for those records. The records came from different streams but there needs to be some consistency in the 852 data. The 852s in these records will have blank indicators. Subfield A will be SUS01; subfield B will be FCL01 for PALMM project materials and this corresponds to the DigiTool administrative module for FCLA. If a library wants to put non-PALMM records in, a new code for subfield B will have to be agreed on. Subfield C will be used for collection codes. FCLA will have to set up a table so that location info will display correctly.

Mary Ann reported that the OCLC MARC updates from TB258 have been put on hold while she has been busy with table modifications for UBorrow. She reminded us that the best way to get problems worked on by FCLA staff is to file RTs.

She also reported that FCLA has been trying to estimate when the LCA10 weekly update loads will be caught up. They believe that subject and series loads will be caught up in late September or early October and names in March or April 2011.

Jean Phillips replied to a question about v. 20 planning. FCLA staff has started trying to figure out when it would make sense to do an upgrade. They figure that the earliest is next summer because of the push to move the shared bib project forward. Version 20, especially v. 20.2, has several good features. Elaine Winske pointed out that the new authority control features are important as well as the ability to convert one type of record to another type in the HOL library.

Report from the Shared Bib Pilot Project:

Amy Weiss reported that they have refreshed the database and tomorrow they are going to renew the tag table. So far they have kept everything. In the next round, they are going to discuss what they can cease to keep. Betsy Simpson reported that they have met twice since the last TSPC call. The first meeting was primarily a training session for the people who will be reviewing serials records and special collections records. The people will be invited to join the regular shared bib conference call on July 16 and they have been added to the email list also.

MARC Standards Task Force report:

Sarah Cohen had sent out the task force's report well in advance of this meeting. She said that the group had relied heavily on the guidelines used by the University of Maryland System but they had adapted it to Florida needs and to the 2010 environment. She said that it was surprising how things have changed since the U MD report was done in 2007. There was discussion of the fact that the task force had left out any mention of RDA. It was agreed that was probably the only thing they could do for now. There were questions about what was meant by "local call numbers" in section VI, D, 2. Are they locally assigned 050/090 LC call numbers or 099 call numbers? It probably means that individual libraries are free to edit local call numbers in HOL records but there's no point in editing them in bib records because those call

numbers are not used by the system. There were also questions about what was meant by “extraneous subject headings”? It was suggested that the sentences should be returned to the committee for clarified wording. Amy said that she would like a ‘keep & delete’ list for discussion, and Elaine Winske said that she had been expecting a list of key elements.

There was also discussion of how to share series. It was suggested that the easiest way is to trace all series. Several spoke in favor of this idea. Then the question arose of how to handle LC copy when series is not traced. Amy reported her impression that research libraries have picked up the ball for LC on series authority work, particularly PCC libraries.

It was agreed that a last minute edit had resulted in garbled prose in reference to fields marked with \$5—the task force does not intend that we should delete fields with other institution’s MARC Organization Codes (section XI). Another question was about the display of fields marked with subfield 5. Will they display only in the local catalog of the library that coded them? The answer is Yes.

It was agreed that, even though the TSPC had many questions about the standards, the task force had done a good job on this initial draft. Daniel asked people with questions and comments to send them to the task force so that they can be taken into consideration in the next draft.

ALA Preconference on RDA summary report:

Annie Glerum had prepared a summary of the ALA pre-conference that she attended on RDA. The report is attached. Mary Ann has been compiling a bibliography of RDA information sources, and she offered to put Glerum’s report on FCLA’s webpage or add it to the TSPC wiki.

Liaison & Subcommittee Reports:

- TSPC OPAC liaison
Jimmie Lundgren had sent out a written report. She reported that Jean Phillips wants to have more of the local digital collections added to Mango and she is optimistic that others will add their content.
- CPC liaison
Cecilia Botero reported that the statewide e-book survey has been taken down. The UF team is currently working at compiling the responses, and they hope to have something to present and send out in conjunction with the ERS/CPC face to face meeting scheduled for mid-August. Betsy asked that the report be sent to TSPC as well.
- Acquisitions Subcommittee
Vicki Stanton had sent TSPC the minutes of Acquisitions Subcommittee’s June 21 call and she referenced them in her report, including the fact that UF and FSU have recently signed contracts with Coutts. Acquisitions staff have been using new object codes for e-resources for one year as a pilot project, and the subcommittee has been asked for their input on the use of the codes.

Task Force on the Future of Academic Libraries in Florida:

FIU Dean Laurie Probst had joined the call to tell the group about a Task Force on the Future of Academic Libraries in Florida formed by Frank Brogan, Chancellor of the SUS, and Willis Holcombe,

Chancellor for the Florida Colleges. The task force will include representation from SUS libraries, FCS libraries, ICUF libraries, FCLA, CCLA, the State Library, university CIOs, university provosts, public libraries, and school libraries—all told about 20 people.

The task force will convene in July and will have 18 months to complete its work (December 2011). It will have co-chairs—the head of the board of CCLA and the head of the board of FCLA. To support the task force, there will also be three advisory groups that will focus on research libraries, joint use libraries, and medical/law libraries. Julia Zimmerman, Dean at FSU, will be chairing the advisory group on research libraries.

A website has been created to share information about their work with the broader community. See www.flbog.org/about/librarytaskforce/. All that's there at the moment is the charge.

New Business:

MAOD asked if we need to elect our vice-chair/chair-elect. It is her recollection that the officers for the coming calendar year need to be sent to CSUL in advance of their September meeting. [Subsequent to the meeting, it was verified that new officers were requested for last September's CSUL meeting.]

The meeting was adjourned at 3:45.

Respectfully submitted,
Sue Wartzok (FIU)

Attached below: RDA 101 report Glerum

Brief report on "RDA 101 : an ALCTS preconference"
Friday, June 25, 2010, ALA 2010 Annual Conference, Washington, D.C.

TSPC Meeting July 8, 2010

Presentations:

- "RDA: General overview" and "The future of cataloging and metadata" by Dr. Barbara Tillett, Ph. D., Chief of the Cataloging Policy and Support Office (CPSO) at the Library of Congress
 - <http://www.loc.gov/catdir/cpso/rdawebcasts.html>
 - The first webinar contains some of the same slides as the preconference presentation
- "Insights and processes from VTLS's 8 years of experience with FRBR and RDA" by John Espley, M.L.S., Principal Librarian, VTLS and Dr. Vinod Chachra, Ph. D., President & CEO, VTLS
 - <http://www.vtls.com/products/virtua>
 - Also on this website are webinars on RDA Theory and Practice.
- "Opening doors of access in RDA" and "RDA 101 an ALCTS CCS preconference summary" by Dr. Robert Ellett, Ph. D., Catalog Librarian, Ike Skelton Library, Joint Forces Staff College, Norfolk, Va. and Lecturer, San Jose State University, School of Library and Information Science
- "Hands on RDA and new MARC21 fields: Non book resource examples" by Dr. Shawne Miksa, Ph. D., Associate Professor, Dept. Library and Information Sciences, University of North Texas

New Terminology

- area of description = descriptive element
- heading = authorized access point
- author, composer, etc. = creator
- main entry = authorized access point for creator
- physical description = carrier description

Some key differences between AACR2 and RDA

WYSIWYG. Transcribe data as it appears on the source; do not abbreviate.

The entire source is the chief source of information.

Optional to transcribe statement of responsibility in the form it appears.

- 245 \$a
 - 245 x0 This title has a typographical [i.e. typographical] error in it = 245 x0 This title has a typographical error in it -- 246 1_\$i Corrected title: \$a This title has a typographical error in it. *OR* 500 Corrected title: This title has a typographical error in it.
- 245 \$c
 - 245 0x \$c by First Author ... [et. al] -- 700 1_ Author, First. = 100 1_ Author, First. -- 245 1x \$c by First Author [and 4 others] *OR* 245 1x \$c by First Author, Second Author, Third Author, Fourth Author, Fifth Author.
 - (If not found on the title page): [edited by Joe Brown]. = edited by Joe Brown.
 - by Edwin T. Layton. = by Rear Admiral Edwin T. Layton, U.S.N. (Ret.).
- 250
 - (On source: First edition): 1st ed. = First edition.
 - (On source: First ed.): 1st ed. = First ed.
- 260 \$a
 - [s.l.] = [Place of publication not identified]

- Tallahassee, Fla. = Tallahassee, Florida
- 260\$b
 - [s.n.] = [Publisher not identified]
 - Little, Brown *OR* Little, Brown & Co. = Little, Brown & Company
- 260 \$c
 - [s.d.] = [Date of publication not identified]
 - 1998, c1996 = 1998, ©1997 *OR* 1998, copyright 1997

Some guidelines that will remain the same

Inferred and guessed dates

- 206 \$c [1887?] = [1887?]

Choice of Corporate Name Preferred Access Point (previously known as the "Main Entry")

New MARC fields to 'replace' 245 \$h

- Content
 - (print) no \$h = 336 __ text \$2 marccontent
 - \$h [videorecording] = 336 __ two-dimensional moving image \$2 marccontent
- Media
 - no \$h = 337 __ unmediated \$2 marcmedia
 - \$h [videorecording] -- 538 DVD = 337 __ video \$2 marcmedia
 - \$h [videorecording] -- 538 VHS = 337 __ video \$2 marcmedia
- Carrier
 - no \$h = 338 __ volume \$2 marccarrier
 - \$h [videorecording] -- 538 DVD = 338 __ videodisc \$2 marccarrier
 - \$h [videorecording] -- 538 VHS = 338 __ videocassette\$2 marccarrier

Ex Libris

- Primo Ver. 2.0 (search and retrieval software) does have capability for *displaying* 'FRBRized' records, but Ex Libris is in the planning stage for a FRBR-compatible ILS to be available sometime in 2012.

U.S. National Libraries RDA Testing and Implementation Schedule

- 2010, July-Sept. Preparation and training for creating RDA records, such as LC's Training-the-trainer webcasts.
- 2010, Oct.-Dec. RDA records are created and shared with the US RDA Test Coordinating Committee
- 2011, Jan.-Mar. The US RDA Test Coordinating Committee analyses the test and prepares at report to the Library of Congress, National Agricultural Library, and National Library of Medicine
- 2011, June. Decision to implement, or not, will be announced at the ALA Annual Conference in New Orleans

Preparing for RDA

- Solid understanding of FRBR
- Test drive the RDA Toolkit
- Training session specific to SUL

Annie Glerum
aglerum@fsu.edu