

**TSPC Conference Call**  
**June 18, 2009**  
**2:00 p.m.**

The conference call began at 2:05 pm

**Attendance:**

FAMU	Emmett Denny	USF	Susan Heron
FAMU LAW	Linda Sobey	USF-HEALTH	Allison Howard
FAU	Janice Donahue Teresa Abaid Helen Laurence	UWF	Dan North Shari Johnson
FCLA	Jean Philips Mary Ann O'Daniel Michele Newberry Ellen Bishop	UCF	Lyn Case
FIU	Elaine Winske Sue Wartzok (Chair)	UF	Betsy Simpson Pricilla Williams Naomi Young
FIU LAW	Masako Patrum	UF-HEALTH	Cecilia Botero
FSU	Charles McElroy Tamara Weatherholt Amy Weiss Ruth Ziegler	UNF	Jeff Bowen Vicki Stanton Barbara Tuck (OPAC I liaison)
FSU LAW	Pat Bingham-Harper		
NCF	Tom Tharrington		

**Minutes:** Minutes of the May 14, 2009, conference call were approved and seconded.

**Next meeting:** July 23

Host for the next call and minute-taker: FGCU – Catherine Gardiner

As usual, please send the names of today's participants to the list after we hang up.

**Report by the TSPC representative for June CSUL meeting:** - Jeff Bowen

- The TSPC action item was approved by members of the CSUL so there can be a face to face meeting. There was some talk about having it in August the same time as CPC but Jeff didn't feel that our draft would be completed in time so the CSUL did approve a September-October timeline. The acquisitions subcommittee was discussed briefly as an information item and the general consensus was that it was a good idea.
- UBorrow / Single bib record was not really discussed other than that UBorrow was still the top priority on their list after the ALEPH 19 upgrade.

- The three institutions that will play a part in the pilot study of the single bib action have not yet been selected. Discussion with the directors will be held during the CSUL meeting to select three.
- TSPC needs to be aware that TAG submitted a proposal to use Elluminate online software as a replacement for telephone calls etc. TAG wanted to know if one of the CSUL committees wanted to volunteer to give the software a test run and TSPC got volunteered so at some point our committee needs to do a test run and report back to TAG. There will be a small cost involved and at least one person from each institution would need a microphone, speakers and a webcam for your computers. Elluminate records everything taking place but it will depend upon your internet connection. A lengthy discussion followed.

**Discussion of meeting of catalogers:** USF has volunteered to host the cataloger's face to face meeting. UCF has agreed to be a backup. The committee was asked if an agreement on an actual date could be made at today's meeting. The task force has proposed the date of October 2d as a first option. No one objected to the proposed October 2d date at this time. Even though it is not critical it was suggested by FCLA that at least someone from a law library, a medical library and a music library try to be present. Sarah Cohen should be invited as a good music representative. Possible topics for the meeting were also discussed. It was pointed out that outsourcing was not included in the survey. It was also suggested that the meeting time should be spent trying to identify possible single-bib problems. OCLC Reclamation was suggested. Discussing what we might be cataloging in the future was also an idea—for example, the data sets that researchers collect.

#### **Discussion of the survey for the meeting of catalogers**

The planning survey was emailed out earlier this week. Discussion was held on the survey and what changes may be needed. A suggestion was to post it on Survey Monkey for easier calculations; however, it was generally considered to be too long. A suggestion of also just using one survey per institution was made. Another idea would be to ask people to do a briefer survey and then go over the longer survey at the in-person meeting. We might also put the more quantitative questions into Survey Monkey and post some free form questions on the swiki. The use of Google Docs was also suggested. After discussion it was agreed upon that the survey be refined and sent out again before the next meeting.

#### **ACQUISITIONS SUBCOMMITTEE ORGANIZATION PROGRESS** – Vicki Stanton

They met on Monday June 15<sup>th</sup>. The committee heard back from the CPC about co-reporting and liaisons. CPC endorsed the committee and think acquisitions is a good thing. They suggested that the acquisitions committee report only to the TSPC. They also felt there was no need for formal liaisons but they would involve the acquisitions subcommittee as needed. Dan was asked to modify the draft to say that the subcommittee will report to just the TSPC. Vicki sent this out in an email attachment to TSPC prior to today's call. A few other minor modifications to the draft were made. Dan made modifications to the membership section and reformatted this into a table format because the directors asked us to do so. Dan also expanded the initial activities section so there is a history of how the group was formed. A motion was made to turn in the revised draft to CSUL for their approval to recognize the Acquisitions Subcommittee as part of TSPC. A motion to accept the revised charge, etc., was made, seconded and approved. It was suggested that the TSPC Chair send the approved document to CSUL and ask them to approve the formalization of the Subcommittee by email vote since the group is already working on three main topics.

- **Encumbering Serials** – A question was posed to the group about how many campuses were encumbering serials, how do you do it, and how do you like it. UWF is currently the only campus who does this. UF has done it in the past but found it was too time consuming. Several libraries are interested in encumbering. More exploration will be done on this.
- **Object codes** – the acquisitions subcommittee deferred to their next meeting.

- **E-Journal packages** – the group discussed a proposal from Claire Dygert at FCLA to consolidate the e-journal packages that are the big publisher packages that FCLA coordinates. A draft has been sent out. Since it was just discussed no further motion was made to take any action on it.

#### **FCLA UPDATE** – Mary Ann O'Daniel, Ellen Bishop, Jean Phillips

- FCLA has a new web site. Please give feedback on it to FCLA. They will be looking at the other wikis to see what can be consolidated in the web site to make it easier to find information.
- **ALEPH v.19** – all test databases are set up. FCLA has visited several of the institutions so far. FCLA is working on the new LINUX machines for test but have just recently acquired these machines for production also. They will soon start testing to make sure all goes smoothly on the new machines. FCLA would like to know if there are any problems while institutions are testing v19 ALEPH. FCLA is also working on the scheduling of the production upgrades. The upgrade can be done in less than one day of downtime. UWF will be the first and go up on July 17<sup>th</sup>. As soon as firm dates for upgrades come up they will be posted on the FCLA calendar.
- **Rapid ILL** – is a new service that many of the SUL directors are interested in. It is an ILL for articles. No decision yet on when this Rapid ILL will begin. UCF is the first institution to ask to use this new service. If anyone has questions or would like to try this new service out, please put a ticket in RT tracker.
- **UBorrow** – CSUL decided that UBorrow is FCLA's second priority (the ALEPH v19 upgrade is their first priority). FCLA has been charged by the CSUL UBorrow task force to come up with the technology to make UBorrow happen using MANGO and AlephILL. This will be compared to a MANGO/ILLiad technical solution. FCLA is working on UBorrow in v19 test as they have the time. Their target date is October 2009 for the release of the test environment. The CSUL Task force has also assigned a subgroup to work on policies.

#### **LIAISONS & SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS :**

- **CPC liaison** – Cecilia Botero – reported on the Acquisitions subcommittee earlier. Nothing else to report at this time.
- **Ad-hoc task force on object codes** – (Vicki reporting) The object code task force has presented definitions for ten or eleven object codes for e-resources. The ACQ subcommittee was given some recommendations and redid the list. They came up with definitions and recommended four digit object codes. The codes themselves were developed by Donna and Ellen at FCLA. A recommendation was made that the last two digits of the object code also represent the material type code that appears in the acquisition order record. They also talked about implementing it for new fiscal year 2009-2010. To do this there is a need for consistent codes. Object codes will need to be changed individually so we'll have a more uniform system.
- **TSPC OPAC liaison** – Betsy Simpson; Elaine Winske, Barbara Tuck – Barbara sent out a report via email prior to the call. Betsy said that one topic that needs TSPC input is which MARC tags should be included in series searches. Also, concern has been expressed that there needs to be wider dissemination of issues and the suggestion has been made that the restricted SUSOPAC-L listserv be opened up. The current restricted list means that someone at FCLA or one of our liaisons has to relay the information about particular issues where TSPC input is needed. Michele explained that initially the OPAC subcommittee wanted the two lists because they did not want too many voices chiming in; now there is concern that there are not enough voices being heard. She suggested that Barbara consult with the PSPC about discontinuing SUSOPAC-L and changing over to using LIBOPAC, the unrestricted list. When a vote is needed, only the official representatives would vote. The CPC & ERS lists are closed

because they talk about budgetary matters. Michelle suggested that Barbara inquire about the rationale for restricting the list.

- **Metadata Subcommittee** – Naomi Young – a report was sent in the list after the call.
- **Authorities Subcommittee** – Priscilla Williams – FCLA loaded the first update into LCA10 and found that linking updates for subject authorities did not work. Some table setting is messed up. Meanwhile a working group has been set up to work on Objective 3.5 our Action Plan, generally referred to as UPD=Y. The working group consists of Priscilla, Gerald Langford, Charles Gordon and Ruth Ziegler. Progress on both issues will have to wait until after the version 19 ALEPH upgrade.

**Announcements:** - None

Meeting adjourned at 3:58 pm

Submitted by Linda M. SobeY – FAMU LAW