MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL OF STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES MEETING
Thursday, March 5, 2015

Present: Judy Russell, Chair (UF), Bill Garrison (USF), Brian Doherty (New College), Kathryn Miller (Florida Poly), Rita Pellen (FAU), Kate Bernard (Florida Poly), Barry Baker (UCF), Roy Zeigler (FSU), Anne Prestamo (FIU), Elizabeth Curry (UNF), Barbara Stites (FGCU), Robert Dugan (UWF) and Faye Watkins (FAMU)

Visitors/Guests: Ava Parker (FPU), Dr. Ghazi Darkazalli (FPU), Michael Arthur (FSU), Rose Bland (USF), Pam Northrup (UWF)
By phone: Lucy Harrison (FLVC)

1. Welcome & Introductions
Kathryn Miller, FPU Library Director introduced Ava Parker, Florida Poly Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer and Dr. Ghazi Darkazalli, Florida Poly Provost and Executive Vice President. Each gave a brief welcome to the CSUL members.

2. Agreement on Agenda
Motion to approve, motion 2nd, no objections noted. The March 5/6, 2015 agenda approved as drafted.

3. Minutes of meeting held at New College, Sarasota, on December 4/5, 2014
   • Motion made to approve minute. Motion 2nd. No objections noted. The December 4/5, 2014 minutes were approved. [Attachment 3]
   • Action/decision items from the December 4/5, 2014 were reviewed. No additional comments were offered. [Attachment 3A]

4. Schedule of meetings
   • June 4/5, 2015  UWF, Pensacola
   • September 3/4, 2015  FAMU, Tallahassee
   • December 3/4, 2015  UNF, Jacksonville
   • March 3/4, 2016  FAU, Boca Raton

CSUL members briefly discussed the possibility of changing the June meeting location to better coordinate with other regional meetings. After a brief discussion the members decided to keep the current meeting schedule. It was noted that per Tammy Elliot, FLVC’s September and December meetings will align with the CSUL meeting schedule.
STANDING COMMITTEE & TASK FORCE REPORTS

5. Cataloging, Authorities, and Metadata Committee
   Chris Boyd (FGCU)
   Jeanne Piascik (UCF)
   [Attachment 5]

DISCUSSION ITEM 1: FLVC TSSC Project Prioritization
   Brian Doherty (NCF)
   [Attachment 5A]

CSUL members discussed in depth, their various concerns about urgent need for cleanup of the SUS records and FLVC’s slow response to CSUL’s urgent request for action during its December meeting. There was strong agreement that the cleanup must occur before migration to a new ILS, and a recommendation that CSUL determine when its records were ready for migration, not FLVC.

Clean up after migration would adversely affect all of the SUS & State College patron. If records are migrated as is, or before clean up is completed, it will result in more work for everyone and hurt students, faculty and other users.

The below listed suggestions were made:

- Bring in a contractor to complete the work.
- Bring in a consultant with cataloging experience and one with systems experience.
- Engage SUS experts in a collaborative effort to complete the work.

It was agreed that CSUL members would ask Lucy Harrison when she calls in if the prioritization is in sequential order or if some of the work can be completed simultaneously. Members will also mention the desire for a consultant.

ACTION ITEM 1: CSUL response to FLVC management and TSSC – a formal response from CSUL was delayed until CSUL members have an opportunity to speak with Lucy Harrison later in today’s meeting.

6. Collections Advisory Committee (CAC) (report in person)
   Michael Arthur (UCF)
   [Attachment 6]

The CAC requested that CSUL approve extending the current shared e-book PDA plan with Coutts. It is estimated that the current plan will run out of funding in April or May and this does not leave time for the implementation of a new plan. Each institution would be invoiced based on the same FTE model that was used in the last extension, and it is projected that new funding would extend the PDA for six months through November 2015. The current plan has resulted in purchase of 712 shared titles with over 9,721 uses
as of December 2014. With an average cost per book of $191.62, and cost per use of $14.04 this plan is successful though the number of publishers is limited. The plan is not sustainable over a long period of time.

**ACTION ITEM 1: Extend the Shard E-Book PDA Plan**

*Motion* made to extend the current shared e-book PDA plan with Coutts up to $50,000 at which point the contract will be terminated. Motioned 2nd. No objections noted.

CAC will bring a new proposal for a shared e-book PDA plan to the June 2015 CSUL meeting.

**ACTION ITEM 2: Approval of Face-to-Face Meeting for Shared Acquisition Planning Task Force (SAPTF)**

The CAC requested permission for the SAPTF to meet face to face in Gainesville no later than April 15th. This one day meeting will be used to finalize plans for a proposed shared monograph purchasing plan. The results of this meeting will then be presented to CSUL so that appropriate vendors/publishers may be contacted. The task force is eager to have a new plan implemented before November 2015.

*Motion* made to approve a F2F SAPTF meeting in Gainesville FL no later than April 15th. Motioned 2nd. No objections noted.

Michael also gave an update on what the SAPTF has been working on thus far concerning e-books. Currently, institutions cannot see what other institutions are purchasing and cannot loan/borrow amongst each other. SAPTF asked for guidance on how big a new shared e-book plan should be. It was noted that a main concern with the current plan is that a large segment of the e-book plan is undergraduate and that more graduate level books would make the new plan more desirable. Russell noted that, if cost per unit stays the approximately the same and content level is higher than UF would support diverting money to a new shared e-book plan. Michael noted that the probable plan includes a print copy of each selected title. CAC recommends that the physical book be shipped to FLARE and available for loan; each title would be available electronically to all institutions. Roy Ziegler discussed alternative models where the purchasing model is based on use. Garrison noted he would be interested in seeing a report on what is duplicated amongst the SUS institutions. Such a report would help in assessing whether or not to move to a larger e-book plan in the future. He asked when such a report could be provided by the SAPTF. Michael could not provide a timeline for when a report would be ready.

**Microform Deterioration Survey Task Force Report (MDSTF)**

Dan Schoonover(FSU)
The task force made the following recommendations:

**General Recommendations**
Deterioration of microfilm produced on an acetate base is inevitable; therefore, the Image Permanence Institute recommends that film conditions be periodically monitored based upon the following criteria:

- If test results from the A-D Strips indicate a score of 1 to 1.9, the film should be sent to cold storage and monitored closely. Film tested at 2 to 2.9 should be frozen and considered for replacement. Film tested at 3+ should be frozen immediately and replaced or discarded.
- Film stored in room temperature areas should be rechecked every two to five years. Cool environments (less than 72°F) need to be rechecked every five to ten years. Film collections kept in cold or freezing spaces (50°F or less) should be checked every 20 to 25 years.

**Specific (SUL) Recommendations**
It is the recommendation of the MDSTF that immediate action be taken for film that has an A-D Strip test result of 1.5 or higher. Given the cost of testing and inventorying entire microfilm collections (approximated at testing 40 reels per hour, plus $50 per 250 test strips), the task force further recommends:

1. Individual institutions should assess the academic value of each title in their microfilm collection(s) to determine prioritization for retention/replacement, or withdrawal.
2. Prioritized lists of microfilm titles to be retained should be brought to the Collections Advisory Committee and the Statewide Storage Taskforce to devise collaborative models for storage and replacement. The Resource Sharing Task Force should be consulted throughout this process. While replacement solutions are sought, storage in a cold facility such as FLARE should be considered for high priority film in advanced stages of decay (level 1.5 or higher).

Prestamo noted that FIU has already purged a significant amount of microfilm. They tested at the reel level through a significant portion of the collection and found cases where a drawer would test positive but only a few reels within the drawer tested positive. They also found places where boxes would test positive, but not the reel. FIU discovered 24,000 reels that had significant deterioration. FIU has now purchased electronic access to a lot of what was purged. When FIU retests the microfilm, it is finding a lot of reels that tested at a level 2 or 3 a year ago are now testing worse at a level 1.
Michael Arthur noted the possibility of utilizing cold storage at FLARE for deteriorating microfilm. It will not stop the damage but would slow it down. Michael asked if the Collections Advisory Committee should be working with the Microform Deterioration Survey Task Force.

**ACTION ITEM:** Michael Arthur will relay to the Microform Deterioration Survey Task Force that the CSUL requests the task force to focus on assessing the state newspaper collections at each institution; their condition, availability at multiple institutions, where else they can be obtained (who owns them) and if there are affordable options for digital access.

7. **Resource Sharing Committee (RSC) (report via phone)**
   
   Kristine Shrauger (UCF)

   **[Attachment 7]**

   **ACTION ITEM 1: Approval of the Lost Book policy**

   The RSC has developed a policy, effective as of July 1, 2015, to implement a LOST book process among the Florida State University Libraries system for UBorrow items, as well as a UBorrow replacement fee for damaged items loaned within the Florida State University System libraries. The committee recommends having fee amounts adjusted annually using the average costs from the *Bowker Annual*, with billing occurring twice a year. This has not yet been discussed with FLVC.

   *Motion* made to accept the committee’s recommendations. Motion 2nd. No objections noted.

   Kristine noted the committee is receiving lots of push back from colleges in reference the loaning of media items. The colleges are objecting to the loaning of media items through U-Borrow because of the short loan period. RSC may need to be looking at issue of what kind of tagging needs to be in the record to support a more reasonable loan period.

   **NEW ACTION ITEM:** Barry Baker agreed to speak the FLVC committee on behalf of CSUL, seeking to find mutually agreeable terms for the loan of media items.

8. **Statewide Storage Task Force (SSTF)**
   
   Judy Russell (UF)

   **[Attachment 8]**

   A draft policy for loaning materials between Special Collections Departments is still under development and being reviewed by task force members before it is shared with experts at each campus. The SSTF will share the draft policy with CAC and RSC to refine as needed. The policy will then be brought forward for approval at the June CSUL meeting through the RSC.
9. Review of CSUL key policy decisions

Judy Russell reviewed the list of past CSUL policy decisions. Specific discussions were held in reference to E, G, H and K.

E. Issues regarding Consortial Licensing of Electronic Resources with our own (not FLVC) funds (March 2014):

It was noted that the legislative language does give FLVC the legal authority to negotiate consortial licensing of electronic resources for public institutions, except with the funds centrally provided by the legislature. CSUL has not created any contractual relationship delegating that responsibility to FLVC.

G. Islandora (March 2012):

Judy Russell and Cecilia Botero met with FLVC in December at which time FLVC stated they would develop programs for bulk ingest of digital newspapers from the Florida Digital newspaper Archive on Sobek into Islandora; however, to date, there has not been any progress on the part of FLVC.

H. Collective development and implementation of best practices and procedures statewide (December 2011):

CSUL Deans should be reminding current and future committee chairs about the existing policy and requesting they document best practices.

**ACTION ITEM:** Judy Russell will notify chairs to include best practices in their final written report for the CSUL December meeting. They will be asked to first look within the CSUL for best practices and then look within FLVC.

K. Digital Archives Content Standard and Encoded Archival Description (December 2007):

This will be referred back to CAM with instructions to involve their special collection colleagues.

The CSUL members agreed it would be useful to create a policy and procedures document.
**ACTION ITEM:** The various CSUL committees will be asked if they have any policies not already reflected in the list provided to CSUL and, if so, to contribute to the document for the CSUL June meeting. They will also be asked to reach out to peers outside of their respective committees who would have information to contribute.

10. **FLVC Updates 4:00p.m. via teleconference**

   **Lucy Harrison (FLVC)**

   **A. Open Help Desk Tickets**

   Open Help Desk tickets were discussed and CSUL expressed specific concerns about tickets from prior years that were open with no projected completion date or clear indication of the status. Harrison indicated that these were a small percentage of the total number of tickets in the system. FLVC is trying to improve their communication with the individuals submitting the requests and will continue to provide summaries to the CSUL deans.

   It was noted that at the point of the merger of FCLA and CCLA with the distance learning organizations, 90+ percent of the assets that came in were coming in from the library side and if our requests for service are now being discounted against the volume of requests from the online part of the house that somewhat distorts the ability to maintain continuity of service for the libraries. That comment resulted in a discussion of how the new entity will function because of the library services will be separated out. CSUL expressed an interest in knowing what resources will be available for library services and what service expectations we can have.

   As the transition into UWF continues, we may need service level agreements so that we have realistic expectations of and commitments from FLVC for what services we can expect. There is a real sense within CSUL that we are now actively competing for time and attention for which the core funding coming into the organization came from CCLA and FCLA compared to the other two organizations. Returning to the original question about what can CSUL expect from the active case requests, it was noted that any case more than 3 months old (or some other reasonable timeframe) should have good information about when it’s likely to be completed. If nothing else that will allow the requestor to come back to you if this is a really significant priority and help FLVC readjust its priorities. This is clearly not a first in/first out system when there are a number of really old requests still open.

   Harrison noted that some of these really old requests predate FLVC. Over 450 were imported from FCLA and only 29 of them are still open. FLVC is in the process of implementing an additional status that will provide more information regarding the current status of the cases. FLVC is currently working on an enhancement to allow deans to review all open tickets at their respective institutions.
One the problem is that when SUS staff leave, no one is reassigned to the tickets they originated because we can’t track the tickets. It was recommended that FLVC develop a mechanism to attach another contact or otherwise make sure there is continuity.

Committee Assignments:

Harrison was asked to clarify how committee assignments will be handled in the future and who can serve

Harrison responded that now that we are not operating under sunshine it is possible, although not required, to have library deans and directors serving on those committees in more than just a liaison role. The Members Council Executive Committee said it was probably not going want to have too much duplication from the same institution but there may be very good reasons to have multiple people from a single institution on standing committee if they bring different things to the table. That would all be considered and talked about by the executive committee when they have the applicant list in front of them.

Interim Report BOG on Line Learning Taskforce:
There is an interim report from a BOG Online Education Taskforce. Muccino was asked how members’ council on distance learning and student services related to that group. Muccino replied that they do not intersect, they are running in parallel.

B. FLVC roles in HathiTrust implementation [Attachments 10B & 10B.1]

ACTION ITEM: CSUL will provide Lucy Harrison feedback about HathiTrust priorities and cleanup of the codes for brittle, damaged and lost items.

C. status report on cleanup of existing single bib records [Attachment 10C] requested following December 2014 CSUL meeting

Harrison was informed that CSUL’s greatest concern is the clean-up of the single bib records. CSUL asked if the projects noted on the FLVC priority list will occur in sequential order or if some can occur simultaneously. Harrison replied that the big projects will occur sequentially. Small projects can possible occur simultaneously. She also noted that

the FLVC internal process has already started for project #1.

CSUL noted concerns with the timeline:
1. All of these projects need to be completed quickly because it is adversely affecting institutions ability to provide service to students and faculty with access to content.

2. All problems have to be fixed before migration to next gen ILS or more problems will be created.

Harrison was asked if she has a sense of what other work could be happening while part one is being completed. She said projects 1, 2 & 3 can be accomplished concurrently. The problem with 5 is that is really is an ALEPH specific issue so it is dependent on the Next Gen ILS decision and implementation timeline. Prestamo noted that the issues that #5 would address are at least in part, if not substantially, responsible for the problems that #1 addresses. Her staff suggested that #5 should be a very top priority because, if work on #1 begins and continues without #5 being addressed, new problems will continue to be created. Harrison respond that this is the priority order in which the list was given to FLVC and the priority order list that was agreed to by the TSSC. FLVC could certainly consider changing the priorities if that is what CSUL wants to do.

Prestamo replied that CSUL needs guidance from the FLVC staff. If there is a root cause of the problem, it seem logical to address that root cause instead of addressing the resulting problems first.

Harrison explained that the potential solution is an ALEPH specific mechanism. Russell replied that would need to be factored into the migration plan and operation of the next gen system. She also asked that FLVC staff help CSUL identify or confirm that underlying cause.

Russell asked if there was work that could be performed by bringing in a consultant to help assess the situation and define the steps that could be taken in order to accelerate the implementation. She noted that CSUL feels very strongly this has to be completed before we can migrate but also because we are adversely affecting our users every day that these problems continue. These problems have been identified for a long time. We put them all together in the report to focus attention on them, but these are not new problems. The cumulative effects have been pretty substantial on impeding access for our users.

Baker stressed that it is very important we do this right. We did not do it right before, with the initial record merge. He reiterated that it is a very big problem and we need to clean it up completely before we migrate to a new system.

Lucy Harrison Harrison explained that it is in large part the same FLVC staff who need to work on all these things. FLVC is constrained by their availability. She said FLVC
would be consulting with Harvard on #5 and asked if CSUL could recommend other consultants.

Russell suggested that as part of the planning process FLVC talk to Harvard and Ex Libris to see to what extent they have solutions that should be incorporated into the implementation of phase 1.

Prestamo noted that right now our patrons are not finding materials or what they are finding is misrepresented or not fully described because a slimmer record has overridden one with richer data.

Russell asked how much of the money in the LBR is anticipated to be for data clean up and how that would be spent. Muccino responded that approximately $600,000 is included and FLVC expects to outsource the [authority] work. The recurring amount $125,000.

Prestamo asked about any FLVC staffing requirements associated with the implementation and Harrison replied that the request did not include additional staff. She said FLVC is not anticipating hiring new staff for implementation.

Harrison was asked if the same people working on those cleanup projects would also be the ones actively working and helping libraries prepare for migration And Harrison replied yes.

Lucy Harrison said that FLVC will do what it can to get the data as clean as possible before migration, but added, as long as migration does not make things worse, she does not see how it’s an absolute that the cleanup has to completed before migration. She agreed that, if migration is going to make things worse, cleanup would need to happen first.

Russell noted that CSUL as a group feels these problems are so significant that we can’t afford to extend them and clean them up after migration because migration will be such overwhelming long and time consuming process and the problems will be exacerbated and perhaps even harder to identify and correct after migration. As the people whose patrons will be affected by this migration, the fact that these problems have been allowed to linger as long as they have, does not then become an acceptable reason for rushing a migration that we believe will in fact exacerbate the problem.

Call ended.

Meeting ended at 5:36pm
11. Pam Northrup

CSUL meeting attendees introduced themselves to Pam Northrup.

Officially, January 1st FLVC transferred all of its assets to UWF. Desk audits are being conducted to help Pam understand the responsibilities and skill sets of the 110 employees. The desk audits will help inform needs for hiring and/or additional training needed now and in the future.

An IT Strategy Work Group is about to kick-off to help form a strategic direction for FLVC moving forward. ISF will be asked to lead the group as an outside entity. They will coordinate the group, develop strategies and produce a final report. Proposed membership will be presented at the June FLVC meeting to get feedback. When the membership is in final form Pam will share with CSUL.

Pam explained the “Florida Shines” initiative. She encouraged CSUL members to submit suggestions to her about information libraries feel should be reflected on the website.

**ACTION ITEM:** Pam and legislative representatives from UWF will be invited to attend the June 2015 CSUL meeting to clarify the scope and intent of the legislation concerning FLVC/CFPP.

12. Discussion of structure and governance for the new organization Judy Russell (UF)

The importance of proportional voting for the successor to the FLVC Members Council for Library Services was discussed given that the needs of colleges and universities can be vastly different, i.e., e-resources. Although there have only been a few roll call votes, the Executive Committee feels that this issue needs to be resolved in anticipation of a time when a topic dictates the need. It was noted that votes are advisory, not binding because the committee does not fall under sunshine.

The need for FLVC service level agreements with libraries was discussed. CSUL members believe such agreements would serve to establish accountability on the part of FLVC.

Concern was expressed over the prioritization of FLVC major projects (e.g., Islandora, single bib clean-up, creation of a common Discovery Tool, open tickets). It was noted that the creation of a road map for each major project, with resource allocations and go live dates would greatly improve the process.
13. **General Education Core Courses and FLVC Databases**

Bob Dugan (UWF)

[Attachment 13]

**ACTION ITEM:** CSUL will draft language that Pam Northrup can take to the legislative staff to get their confirmation that the legislative requirement can be met by focusing on the needs of the common core and 4 year degree programs at colleges.

**UPDATES**

14. **LBRs for 2015 Legislative Session**

Judy Russell (UF)

It was noted that there are multiple LBRs that matter to CSUL

- Increased funding for FLVC e-resources
- Operating expenses for FLARE (interim storage facility)
- Funding for STEM E-journal packages for the SUS
- Construction funding for the High Density Joint-Use library storage facility

**ACTION ITEM:** Pam Northrup will ask Janice Gilley to assemble a talking points document to distribute to the SUS government relations staff to support the various LBRs that are important to CFPP/FLVC and the SUS. CSUL members should also speak to their provosts and legislative affairs staff to make sure they are aware of all the LBRs and able to speak affirmatively about them if asked.

15. **Next Generation ILS ITN**

Judy Russell (UF)

The importance of completing the single bib clean-up project prior to the Next Generation ILS implementation was discussed, as well as alternatives to immediate implementation of the Next Gen ILS. It was recommended that the over the ITN evaluators be given clear directions so they understand all the options available to them in making the final recommendation. CSUL inquired about the authority of the SUS libraries to decide when their records were ready for migration.

**ACTION ITEM:** Pam Northrup agreed to talk with Angie Jones in reference to providing instructions to the ITN evaluators before the final meeting on March 10th.

Meeting adjourned temporarily to allow Kathryn Miller to provide the group with a guided tour of the Polytech campus.

Meeting resumed.

16. **Interest Groups**

All
Reports in person:

- Health/Medical Librarians Task Force  Rose Bland (USF)

  The Health/Medical Librarians Task Force has not met since the December CSUL meeting. The task force’s next meeting is scheduled for April 2015. The HCA hospital system is looking to provide residency in Florida.

- Law Librarians Interest Group  Faye Jones (FSU)

  A law librarian at the University of Miami has agreed to lead a group in Florida to look at archiving print law journals in FLARE, and eventually throughout the SE, much like the effort currently taking place with Agriculture journals in ASERL.

  Judy asked CSUL members to please let her know if they have anyone at their respective institutions willing to work on a subject specific initiatives.

- Information Literacy Interest Group

  This group has been inactive for some time now. **ACTION ITEM:** Kathryn Miller will take up the task of reviving the Information Literacy Interest Group and renaming it the Information Literacy & Instruction Group. Judy Russell will send her information about how to access and update the list serv.

- Scholarly Communications Interest Group  Christine Fruin (UF)

  This group is somewhat active. **ACTION ITEM:** Judy Russell will send out information on how to join the group so CSUL members can inform their staff and encourage them to participate.

- Special Collections Interest Group  Katie McCormick (FSU)

  This group has not been very active. Currently only working on one project.

17. Old Business

A. Discussion of draft Library Security Policy Survey  Julia Zimmerman (FSU)

  A suggestion was made that a Library Security Policy should be broad enough to cover not only what occurs inside library buildings but what also occurs in areas surrounding
library buildings. It addition, it was noted the policy should also address topics such as emergency closings, etc.

FIU UPD & Safety conducted an audit in February. They are going to have small group follow-up meetings.

**ACTION ITEM:** Anne Prestamo will share the results of the FIU audit at the June CSUL meeting.

**ACTION ITEM:** Julia Zimmerman will consolidate the responses she receives from her survey and the ASERL survey and provide a report at the June 2015 CSUL meeting.

B. Discussion of open access publishing activities  
   Bill Garrison (USF)  
   Judy Russell (UF)  
   Julia Zimmerman (FSU)

**ACTION ITEM:** CSUL members will send responses to the questions send out by Bill Garrison, Judy Russell, and Julia Zimmerman and then the results will be discussed and opportunities for future collaboration identified at the June 2015 CSUL meeting.

C. Discussion of HathiTrust  
   Judy Russell (UF)

Judy Russell reviewed the parameters surrounding ADA patron access. Each institution will need to figure out how ADA patrons are identified and can be identified in their respective systems to allow them to access more content. There is agreement with HathiTrust that there will be a mechanism to validate the disability.

**ACTION ITEM:** Judy Russell will notify FLVC about priorities identified by the CSUL and send a follow-up email to CSUL members with questions that need to be answered in order for FLVC to support ADA access to HathiTrust content and to make qualifying out-of-print titles visible to all SUS patrons.

D. Revisit discussion of CSUL/LRSC/FLVC comparison table  
   Judy Russell (UF)  
   [Attachment 17D]

CSUL members discussed the importance of articulating CSULs purpose and the need for a mission statement.

**ACTION ITEM:** Judy Russell and Brian Doherty will discuss this topic and determine how to move forward with the expectation that there will be a draft for discussion at the June 2015 CSUL meeting.

18. **New Business**
A.  ALA Annual Conference – Orlando - June 2016  Kathryn Miller (FPU)

The June 2016 ALA Annual Conference will be held in Orlando FL. CSUL members discussed how the Florida libraries should be involved. Ideas should be sent to Kathryn Miller. Barbara Stites suggested contacting Linda McCarthy at FLVC about opportunities at the FLA Meeting.

On a side note, it was announced that FIU has been selected as the only site in Florida to host the complete edition of the traveling exhibit for the First Folio! The Book that Gave Us Shakespeare.

B.  Council of State University Libraries website  Rita Pellen (FAU)

The CSUL website will be migrated to a Wordpress site. The web team at FAU will have a better idea in late June as to when the migration of the website will be finalized.

C.  Mango record display problems  Anne Prestamo (FIU)  [Attachment 18C]

This topic was discussed at the March 5, 2015 CSUL meeting. Problems have been discovered in Mango that apparently sometimes result when college and universities records are merged. It appears there are elements or entire records coming from the college side that are taking priority in terms of what is displayed in Mango and often the university record is more substantive.

19.  Executive Session (if necessary)

No executive session held.

Meeting ended at 1:43pm.