

**State University Libraries  
Collection Management Committee  
Conference Call**

**September 16, 2004  
1:00 P.M.**

**Present:**

FAMU	Brenda Wright	UF	John Ingram, chair
FAU	Rita Pellen	UF-HSC	Lenny Rhine
FIU	Tony Schwartz	UNF	Sarah Philips
FSU	Maryhelen Jones	USF	Larry Heilos
UCF	Milton Wolf		
	Jeanette Ward		

The minutes of the August 11, 2004 meeting were approved.

**Special Collections Task Force**

The Special Collections Task Force is moving in the right direction. They appear to be in sync with their charge and the nature of their responsibilities. They are prepared to come back to the CMC with recommendations for action.

The CMC is encouraged to share comments with the SCTF. The CMC, DPPC, and the SUL Library Directors will review recommendations, and deliberations of the SCTF.

**Managing Our Collections: follow-up to Milton's proposal for proactive collection management and distribution**

It has been recommended that the SULs work toward true collaborative collection management. Of course, primary focus will be on the programs of the single institution, with subsequent focus on collecting resources that will serve the SUL as a whole. Some first steps toward this goal are to:

- Assess the collections institution by institution.
- Conduct an analysis of the SUL collection as a whole.
- Suggest materials for like programs.
- Identify distinct collections for unique programs.
- Find a computer program that can be used to conduct an analysis.
- Acquire a consultant from an entity that is already involved in collaborative efforts such as Ohio Link, Illinois, California, etc. or
- Consult Dr. Anna Perrault of the USF School of Information Studies, specialist in the area of collection assessment.

It is recommended that the initial assessment be a broad and simple assessment to identify our strengths and weaknesses.

It is also recommended that as we assess the collections we look at what we have and what we need to support the various research centers that are springing up on our individual campuses.

Goals, objectives, and procedures for our collaborative collection management will be outlined in a White Paper to be presented to the SUL Directors. Milton will initiate the paper and everyone will chip in. The initial draft will be submitted by month's end and the final draft at the end of October.

### **ECC face-to-face meeting**

The SUL Electronic Collections Committee will meet at UCF in Orlando September 23-24, 2004. Currently on the meeting agenda are: Presentations from Bowker, Gale Group, Solinet, netLibrary, and Cambridge

Other issues and concerns to recommend for discussion at the meeting are:

There are new opportunities developing with Blackwell, Cambridge and Kluwer. We can gain more by going all electronic.

There are journal articles that are inaccessible to us via Kluwer because Kluwer is now hosting other publishers, one of which is Brill. Of course, the publishers' intent is to coerce us in to buying new subscriptions. Most of us subscribe to a few Brill titles to which we have legitimate access. Kluwer is becoming Springer; our rep for Kluwer/Springer is Sylvia. John will ask Sylvia to come up with a spreadsheet indicating the amount of duplication and the titles for which we do and do not have subscriptions.

For the UF Health Science Center Libraries Elsevier titles, there are 40 W.B. Saunders and two Mosby titles that are available electronically via Username and Password but not IP Address. As part of our SUL consortia agreement, should these not be accessible via IP Address? The HSCL is not able to cancel the print for 2005 subscriptions and the cost is ~\$17,000. John will ask Michael about this. Lenny will send John the list of titles.

We still have to deal with swapping out Elsevier titles. They are adamant about us swapping out subscriptions to guarantee individual institutions archival rights. If there are no individual subscriptions, rights would be lost if the consortium dies. Swapping would ensure archival rights to previous titles. The Elsevier license agreement change was sent out to everyone, but is not yet signed.

We will have further discussions after the ECC meets. Email concerns to the list.

### **Quarterly Report to the Directors**

The directors were unable to hold their September meeting due to Hurricane Charlie, but the quarterly report was forwarded to them. So far we've had no individual discussion of the directors' feelings about the report.

Meeting adjourned 1:59 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,  
Brenda Wright